

## Editorial

We bring the last issue of this volume in the **Journal of Science & Technology Metrics** with the research outlined below.

In the opening paper, “**Open Access Publications: A Scientometric Analysis**,” the authors *Keshava, Hemavathi*, and *Chandrashekhara* studied the development trend of open-access publications released from 2014 to 2023 using the Scopus database. They studied the growth using the average relative growth rate of open-access publications, which showed an exponential pattern. The dataset they have used fits well with the polynomial model ( $r^2 = .9698$ ), linear model ( $r^2 = .9567$ ), exponential model ( $r^2 = .9645$ ), and logarithmic model ( $r^2 = .7912$ ).

In the next paper, “**Research Metrics: Logic of Auditability**,” the author, *Keshava*, studied Research Metrics and explained the units used to measure them. While discussing the metrics, they outlined various issues that affect them, such as plagiarism, retractions, self-citations, and predatory journals. Thus, research evaluation needs a perfect auditing system, which is highlighted in this work.

In the next paper, “**Enhancing Research Efficiency with Mendeley: A Comprehensive Guide to Referencing and Collaboration**,” the author *Shilpa* studied Mendeley’s uses, from essential tools for constructing libraries to sophisticated integrations and functionality that boost output. The limitations users could expect, such as dependency on cloud storage, inaccurate metadata, responsive interfaces, compatibility problems, and customer service, are addressed.

*Bhuvaneshwari Patil* and *Gavisiddappa Anandhalli*, in the paper “**Exploring Authorship Patterns in Artificial Intelligence Literature in Library Science: A Bibliometric Analysis**,” observed the authorship pattern and research collaboration in Artificial Intelligence (AI) using 3,500 scholarly communications between 2014 to 2023. The variables like authorship, author productivity, author per paper, Document-wise distribution, and geographical distributions are studied.

We hope that these papers generate more interest in science analysis.

## Editors